# ATMS Striping Assessment and Mitigation

Degui Gu NGAS SNPP Mission Support Team

With contributions from STAR, FSU, NGES, MIT-LL, NASA and NRL

Prepared for ATMS SDR Validated Maturity Review, December 18-20,2013

THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.

## Outline



- Striping noise observations
  - O-B biases
  - Raw counts
- Root-cause analysis
  - Comparison with AMSU-A/B and MHS
  - Calibration data noise characteristics
  - Inter-channel correlation
- Quantification and mitigation
  - Striping Index (SI)
  - Optimization of calibration filter
    - TVAC data
    - Maneuver data
    - Operational data
- Summary
  - Findings
  - Recommendations

# Examples of ATMS Striping Noise (1)





# Examples of ATMS Striping Noise (2)



Weak cross-track striping effect, especially for stratospheric temperaturesounding channels.



(Bormann et al, ECMWF)

# Examples of ATMS Striping Noise (4)



# Examples of ATMS Striping Noise (4)



# Striping Effectively Removed by Calibration in AMSU-A Calibrated Radiance Data





#### Striping in Moisture Sounding Channels/Instruments



(Xiaolei Zou et al, FSU)

# ATMS, AMSU-A/B and MHS Scan Sequences

Cosmic

- Scan period
  - ATMS and MHS/AMSU-B: 8/3seconds
  - AMSU-A: 8 seconds
  - Impact: Noise at intermediate frequencies (1/8-3/8Hz) have different appearances
- Calibration data integration time
  - ATMS and MHS/AMSU-B: ~65ms
  - AMSU-A: 370ms
  - Impact: ATMS calibration data "noisier" than AMSU-A and more susceptible to striping
- TDR/SDR calibration





IROP GRUMMAN

# Flicker Noise (1/f) Evident in ATMS Data





#### NRL Simulated Striping from White and 1/f Noises

#### NRL Analysis Strategy (In time domain)

- 1. White noise + scale factor  $\times 1/f$  noise (scale factor = 1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25)
- 2. Time series data were chopped into 8/3 second "scans"
- 3. Powers of the white and 1/f noises were designed to be comparable
- 4. Background is assumed to be cold space (using 3K to represent)



#### (Steve Swadley, NRL)

## **Inter-Channel Correlation**





cross-track variance

along-track variance

Striping Index (SI) is defined as the ratio of alongtrack variance to cross-track variance of the observed brightness temperatures

$$SI = V_{AT} / V_{CT}$$

If this index is significantly larger than 1, it indicates additional scan-to-scan variability (striping)

This index can be used to inflate O-B error covariance for NWP assimilation to prevent over fit of data

This index can be computed using ground TVAC test data to verify sensor hardware performance before launch



More precise estimate of SI can be obtained by averaging along-track variance over multiple FOVs and cross-track variance over multiple scans

#### Quantitative Assessment of Striping in TVAC Calibrated Radiances



IROP GRUMMAN

#### Striping Reduction by Increasing Calibration Window Sizes – TVAC Data



# Optimal Window Sizes and Residual Striping – TVAC Data

Optimal window sizes correspond to the minimum SI averaged over all scene temperatures from 130K-280K. Dashed lines indicate ± 10 uncertainty estimates

Redundant Configuration 1

Boxcar weighting functions



Residual Striping in Calibrated Brightness Temperatures – TVAC vs Maneuver Data



# Estimated ATMS TDR/SDR Striping Errors



#### Optimal Filters from Operational Data Analysis Using PCA/EEMD Technique



The spectral distribution of the sum of the first three Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) modes (black curve) and the difference between raw data and 9point (sky blue curve), 11point- (green), 13-point (pink), 15-point (orange), 17-point (magenta) and 19-point (little purple) boxcar filters of brightness temperature observations at nadir of the 1<sup>st</sup> PCA component of ATMS channel 10

ORTHROP GRUMMAN

Optimal filter size: 11-scan

(Xiaolei Zou et al, FSU)

Assessment of Residual Striping in ATMS Brightness Temperatures using IDPS and Updated Filters





#### Summary

- ATMS TVAC test data, pitch-maneuver data, and operational data all show a certain degree of scan-to-scan variability (striping) in the calibrated brightness temperatures
- It's evident that both white noise and flicker noise (1/f) are present in ATMS measurements to have caused the striping
- Striping Index, defined as the ratio of cross-track variance to down-track variance, was proposed to measure and characterize the magnitude of striping
- Striping can be effectively reduced by averaging the calibration data over multiple scans, but not completely eliminated due to the presence of 1/f noise
- There are some correlation between channels that share the same frontend RF path, evident in both raw counts and calibrated brightness temperatures

### Recommendations

- Recommendation 1: ATMS SDR team continue to refine radiometric calibration algorithms to reduce the impact of 1/f noise on TDR/SDR data products through specific filtering and wavelet analysis
- Recommendation 2: Add the striping index in TDR/SDR data products to provide users the needed information for proper handling of striping noise in their applications
- Recommendation 3: ATMS SDR team work with NWP centers to assess the impacts of striping on global medium-range forecasts and effectiveness of the implemented mitigation approaches
- Recommendation 4: ATMS SDR team proposed to NASA to revise the instrument specification on the short-term gain stability for future instruments
- Recommendation 5: ATMS instrument vendor and NASA instrument team investigate ATMS MMIC LNAs performance to compare with AMSU

# Backup Charts



## ATMS RF Path for Different Channels

Table 1. ATMS spectrometric and radiometric specifications										
	RF path			Center frequency		Bandwidth		NEDT		Beamwidth
Ch				[MHz]		[MHz]		[K]	Pol	[°]
	Ant	Feed	Rcvr	Value	Stab	Req	True	Req		Req
1	Α	1	a	23800	<10	<270	1x270	0.5	V	5.2
2	Α	1	b	31400	<10	<180	1x180	0.6	v	5.2
3	Α	2	с	50300	<10	<180	1x180	0.7	Η	2.2
4	Α	2	с	51760	< 5	<400	1x400	0.5	Η	2.2
5	Α	2	с	52800	< 5	<400	1x400	0.5	Η	2.2
6	Α	2	с	53596±115	< 5	170	2x170	0.5	Н	2.2
7	Α	2	с	54400	< 5	400	1x400	0.5	Η	2.2
8	Α	2	с	54940	<10	400	1x400	0.5	Н	2.2
9	Α	2	с	55500	<10	330	1x330	0.5	Η	2.2
10	Α	2	d1	57290.344 [f <sub>0</sub> ]	<0.5	330	2x155	0.75	Н	2.2
11	Α	2	$d_1$	f <sub>0</sub> ±217	<0.5	78	2x 78	1.0	Н	2.2
12	Α	2	$d_2$	f <sub>0</sub> ±322.2±48	<1.2	36	4x 36	1.0	Η	2.2
13	Α	2	$d_2$	f <sub>0</sub> ±322.±22	<1.6	16	4x 16	1.5	Н	2.2
14	Α	2	$d_2$	f <sub>0</sub> ±322.±10	<0.5	8	4x 8	2.2	Н	2.2
15	Α	2	$d_2$	f <sub>0</sub> ±322.±4.5	<0.5	3	4x 3	3.6	Н	2.2
16	в	3	e	88200	<200	2000	1x2000	0.3	V	2.2
17	в	4	f	165500	<200	3000	2x1150	0.6	Н	1.1
18	в	4	g	183310±7000	<30	2000	2x2000	0.8	Η	1.1
19	в	4	g	183310±4500	<30	2000	2x2000	0.8	Η	1.1
20	В	4	g	183310±3000	<30	1000	2x1000	0.8	Η	1.1
21	В	4	g	183310±1800	<30	1000	2x1000	0.8	Η	1.1
22	в	4	g	183310±1000	<30	500	2x 500	0.9	Η	1.1



0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

#### Inter-Channel Correlation in Calibrated Radiances

#### Inter-channel correlation in calibrated brightness temperatures (TVAC)









### **TVAC Data Collection Overview**



Figure 3-2 Calibration Test Cycles

# NORTHROP GRUMMAN

## **Controlled Scene Target Identification**



# Calibrated Brightness Temperature: No Averaging to Calibration Data



#### Calibrated Brightness Temperature: 5-scan Averaged Calibration Data



#### Calibrated Brightness Temperature: 9-scan Averaged Calibration Data



#### Calibrated Brightness Temperature: 15-scan Averaged Calibration Data



