



Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) SDR Highlights

Fuzhong Weng, JPSS SDR Chair Center for Satellite Applications and Research (STAR) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

> STAR JPSS 2015 Annual Science Team Meeting August 24-28, 2015 5830 University Research Court, College Park, MD 20740

STAR JPSS SDR Teams/Management Structures

NOAR











- 1. Completed comprehensive SDR CalVal Plans for JPSS-1. The calval tasks are presented with clear role and responsibility, task objective, expected outcomes, and lessons learned from SNPP
- 2. Developed an offline CrIS full spectral resolution (FSR) SDR processing system and made the FSR products available to user community
- 3. Developed ATMS radiance-based radiometric calibration, replacing Rayleigh-Jeans approximation two-point calibration system
 4. Developed 11 VUDS DND
- 4. Developed J1 VIIRS DNB waiver mitigation and delivered pre-operational software to IDPS program on-time, and implemented the operational straylight correction in DNB band
- 5. SNPP OMPS earth view SDR products have reached the validated maturity level after updating LUTs of wavelength scale, solar irradiance and earth view radiance coefficients
- 6. Integrated CalVal System (ICVS) Lite version was successfully transitioned to GRAVITE for NASA Flight and OSPO operational uses



Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) OMPS Calibration/Validation Plan

Version 1.6

Prepared by The OMPS SDR Science Team (POC: Chunhui Pan) NOAA/Center for Satellite Applications and Research

Date: August 20, 2015



Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) ATMS Calibration/Validation Plan

Version 1.0

Prepared by The ATMS SDR Science Team (POC: Fuzhong Weng) NOAA/Center for Satellite Applications and Research

Date: August 20, 2015

Page 1 of 60



Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) VIIRS Calibration/Validation Plan

```
The VIIRS SDR Science Team
(POC: Changyong Cao)
A/Center for Satellite Applications and Research
Date: August 20, 2015
```



2015 NOAA Administrator's Award to CrIS SDR Science Team Lead: Dr. Yong Han



The Administrator's Award is a combination honorary and monetary award designed to recognize NOAAspecific contributions

Citation Title: For developing state-of-the-art processing, calibration, and monitoring of Crosstrack Infrared Souder full spectral resolution data for weather and climate applications



"....Yong had to wade into an existing program at a critical time, and he has done a superlative job. He is a natural at management, although I suspect he denies that. His willingness and ability to delve very deeply into mathematical, instrument, science, and code issues while serving as the team leader is even more impressive and I suspect is the one of the main reasons he is such a good leader!...." – Professor Larrabee Strow, UMBC



Suomi NPP TDR/SDR Algorithm Schedule



| Sensor | Beta | Provisional | Validated |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| CrIS | February 10, 2012 | February 6, 2013 | March 18, 2014 |
| ATMS | May 2, 2012 | February 12, 2013 | March 18, 2014 |
| OMPS | March 7, 2012 | March 12, 2013 | August 20, 2015 |
| VIIRS | May 2, 2012 | March 13, 2013 | April 16, 2014 |

Beta

- Early release product.
- Initial calibration applied
- Minimally validated and may still contain significant errors (rapid changes can be expected. Version changes will not be identified as errors are corrected as on-orbit baseline is not established)
- Available to allow users to gain familiarity with data formats and parameters
- Product is not appropriate as the basis for quantitative scientific publications studies and applications

Provisional

- Product quality may not be optimal
- Incremental product improvements are still occurring as calibration parameters are adjusted with sensor on-orbit characterization (versions will be tracked)
- General research community is encouraged to participate in the QA and validation of the product, but need to be aware that product validation and QA are ongoing
- Users are urged to consult the SDR product status document prior to use of the data in publications
- Ready for operational evaluation

Validated

- On-orbit sensor performance characterized and calibration parameters adjusted accordingly
- Ready for use in applications and scientific publications
- There may be later improved versions
- There will be strong versioning with documentation



JPSS-1 SDR Algorithm Schedule



| Sensor | Beta | Provisional | Validated |
|--------|------|-------------|-----------|
| CrIS | L+3M | L+6M | L+12M |
| ATMS | L+1M | L+3M | L+12M |
| OMPS | L+3M | L+6M | L+12M |
| VIIRS | L+3M | L+6M | L+12M |

Beta

- Early release product.
- Initial calibration applied
- Minimally validated and may still contain significant errors (rapid changes can be expected. Version changes will not be identified as errors are corrected as on-orbit baseline is not established)
- Available to allow users to gain familiarity with data formats and parameters
- Product is not appropriate as the basis for quantitative scientific publications studies and applications

Provisional

- Product quality may not be optimal
- Incremental product improvements are still occurring as calibration parameters are adjusted with sensor on-orbit characterization (versions will be tracked)
- General research community is encouraged to participate in the QA and validation of the product, but need to be aware that product validation and QA are ongoing
- Users are urged to consult the SDR product status document prior to use of the data in publications
- Ready for operational evaluation

Validated

- On-orbit sensor performance characterized and calibration parameters adjusted accordingly
- Ready for use in applications and scientific publications
- There may be later improved versions
- There will be strong versioning with documentation





Backup Slides: Summary of 2015 Top Five Accomplishments for Five SDR Teams



- 1. Developed the radiometric two-point calibration in radiance, instead of brightness temperature which is based on Rayleigh-Jeans approximation. The full radiance calibration algorithm will be in IDPS MX8.12 and IDPS Block 2
- 2. Standardized NEdT calculation for ATMS and other microwave sounding instruments using Allan Deviation. The new algorithm has resulted in much stable noise trending and is SI traceable
- 3. Optimized the ATMS de-striping algorithm for the earth scene brightness temperatures and generated 45 days of ATMS TDR data for NWP user community to experiment the impacts of ATMS on global forecast skills
- 4. Developed a physically based model for correcting the radiation from ATMS reflector emission contributed to the earth scene brightness temperature
- 5. Updated ATMS processing coefficient tables (e.g. nonlinearity coefficients, threshold for calibration counts)



- 1. Developed an offline CrIS full spectral resolution (FSR) SDR processing system and made available to user community the FSR SDR product since December 4, 2015
- 2. Completed and delivered J1 CrIS SDR software with backward compatibility for S-NPP data processing
- 3. Characterized J1 CrIS instrument performances and derived calibration LUTs from prelaunch test data
- 4. Significantly improved CrIS SDR calibration algorithm to reduce radiance ringing artifacts by a factor 3 in certain unapodized spectral regions
- 5. Developed and delivered a new Fringe Count Error detection and correction SDR software module



- 1. Developed J1 VIIRS DNB waiver mitigation and delivered pre-operational software to the program on-time, which greatly reduced program schedule and cost risks, in addition to operational straylight correction
- 2. Prepared all 47 J1 VIIRS LUTs (ver1.0) based on analysis of prelaunch test data, tested using ADL and simulated J1 data, and delivered to the program
- 3. Developed and demonstrated VIIRS DNB radiometric and geolocation monitoring/characterization capabilities using nightlight point sources, which is critically needed for J1 postlaunch validation of the waivers
- 4. Expanded validation time series with the 30+ validation sites worldwide, with added capabilities in the SWIR bands, as well as comparing with GOSAT FTS hyperspectral observations
- 5. Generated recalibration coefficients since launch with the latest corrections and RSB Autocal



- LUTs of wavelength, day one solar and radiance coefficients were updated for both NP (CCR 15-2548) and NM (CCR 15-2547). These LUTs reduced NM radiance error in the cross-track direction. The SDR products now meet the requirement of wavelength dependent albedo (normalized radiance) <2.0%. Additional improvements have been made for the NM and NP consistency in 300-310 nm by 2-10%.
- 2. Completed NM SDR J1upper modifications. 1) Implemented NM decompression algorithms to process/convert compressed RDR input and aggregation algorithm to convert RDR to the required resolution. Enhanced NM SDR algorithms to process medium-resolution 17x17 km, sparse-spectral RDR products, provide data with current NM SDR product content (CCR 15-2283) 2) performed algorithm validation test using J1 proxy data (CCR 15-2432).
- 3. Completed NP SDR medium resolution code updates. 1) Implemented NP decompression algorithms to process/convert compressed RDR input. Enhance NP SDR algorithms to process medium-resolution 50x50 km RDR products, provide data with current NP SDR product content (CCR 15-2388) and 2) performed algorithm validation test using J1 proxy data (CCR 15-2469).

J1 proxy data: use SNPP earth view data processed by J1 MEB (Main Electronic Box) with J1 timing pattern and sample tables.

- 4. Updated NM stray light LUT (CCR 14-2100) and added the NM out of band response from 417 nm to the stray light correction.
- 5. Evaluated, converted and formatted OMPS SCDBs contents to J1 algorithm LUTs for both NM and NP.
 - Spectrometric LUTs: Spectral Response, Spectral Registration, Wavelengths
 - Radiometric LUTS: Calibration Coefficients, CF-Earth(contains radiometric calibration factors for the Earth scene spatial cells), Darks, Linearity, Stray Light, Solar Irradiance, Observed Solar, Predicted Solar
 - Geolocation LUT: Mounting Matrix and Field Angle Map





- 1. Transitioned ICVS-Lite to GRAVITE for OSPO operational uses. The ICVS-Lite is running at GRAVITE and is being used by OSPO engineers.
- 2. Detected 3 major ATMS scan motor current anomalies with timely reports to NASA flight and NOAA JPSS senior managements
- 3. Standardized ICVS codes and eliminated the IDL language in graphics and optimized software structures through version control and central repository
- 4. Upgraded ICVS storage through OSGS ground system fund for storing the metadata from all the instrument house keeping and anomaly events
- 5. Began a prototype design of ICVS Big Data structure for more applications of ICVS data





ATMS SDR Overview

Fuzhong Weng

ATMS SDR Team August 24, 2015

STAR JPSS 2015 Annual Science Team Meeting August 24-28, 2015 5830 University Research Court, College Park, MD 20740





- ATMS SDR Team Members
- ATMS Instrument Overview
- ATMS SNPP Product Overview
- ATMS JPSS-1 Readiness
- Summary and Path Forward



ATMS SDR Team Members



| PI Name | Organization | Primary Role and Responsibility |
|----------------|--------------|--|
| Fuzhong Weng | NOAA | Budget execution, calval task planning, and ATMS SDR sciences and algorithms |
| Ninghai Sun | ERT | Technical coordination, ATMS SDR processing in ADL, ATMS monitoring, anomaly investigation |
| Edward Kim | NASA | NASA ATMS instrument scientist, TVAC data, instrument anomaly investigation |
| Vince Leslie | MIT/LL | Calval support, SDR sciences, PCT/LUT, prelaunch TVAC data analysis, RDR generation |
| Xiaolei Zou | ESSIC/UMD | Striping analysis and mitigation, RFI analysis, xcal (ATMS vs. AMSU) |
| Kent Anderson | NGES | NGES ATMS instrument and engineering sciences, TVAC data |
| Wes Berg | CIRA | Xcal ATMS with GPM microwave imager, other WG band instruemnts |
| Wael Ibrahim | Raytheon | IDPS operational feedbacks and code implemention |
| Hu(Tiger) Yang | ESSIC/UMD | ATMS SDR algorithm sciences, full radiance calibration, lunar correction, antenna spill-over |



ATMS Instrument Review



- SNPP and JPSS-1 ATMS instruments are identical in channel and spatial resolution. J1 ATMS design life should be longer than SNPP ATMS (e.g. better bearing system)
- SNPP ATMS has been commanded for daily scan reversal to extend the life time performance beyond 5 years. The motor current shows significant drops after the August 17 reversal.
 - The reversal was initiated above 75 degrees latitude North and repeats every 15 orbits after the previous reversal. The 15 orbits will "walk" the longitude across the earth in 14-15 days, with steps about 20 degrees longitude between successive orbits. Each reversal last no more than 1 minute
- Rework of JPSS-1 ATMS is nearly completed. The new TVAC data will be soon released. The sensor will be delivered on November 7
 - Team has evaluated the proposal of TVAC with less scene measurements from 11 to 6.
 - All the software for anlayzing TVAC data is ready at STAR





ATMS Instrument Characterization



| Ch | Channel Central Freq.(MHz) | Polarization | Bandwidth Max. (MHz) | Frequency Stability (MHz) | Calibration Accuracy (K) | Nonlinearity Max. (K) | ΝΕΔΤ (K) | 3-dB Bandwidth (deg) | Remarks | Characterization at Nadir |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 23800 | QV | 270 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.2 | AMSU-A2 | Window-water vapor 100 mm |
| 2 | 31400 | QV | 180 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.2 | AMSU-A2 | Window-water vapor 500 mm |
| 3 | 50300 | QH | 180 | 10 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-2 | Window-surface emissivity |
| 4 | 51760 | QH | 400 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | | Window-surface emissivity |
| 5 | 52800 | QH | 400 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-2 | Surface air |
| 6 | 53596±115 | QH | 170 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-2 | 4 km ~ 700 mb |
| 7 | 54400 | QH | 400 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 9 km ~ 400 mb |
| 8 | 54940 | QH | 400 | 10 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 11 km ~ 250 mb |
| 9 | 55500 | QH | 330 | 10 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-2 | 13 km ~ 180 mb |
| 10 | 57290.344(f _o) | QH | 330 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.75 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 17 km ~ 90 mb |
| 11 | $f_o \pm 217$ | QH | 78 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 19 km ~ 50 mb |
| 12 | $f_{o} \pm 322.2 \pm 48$ | QH | 36 | 1.2 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 25 km ~ 25 mb |
| 13 | $f_{o} \pm 322.2 \pm 22$ | QH | 16 | 1.6 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 29 km ~ 10 mb |
| 14 | $f_{o} \pm 322.2 \pm 10$ | QH | 8 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 32 km ~ 6 mb |
| 15 | $f_{o} \pm 322.2 \pm 4.5$ | QH | 3 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | AMSU-A1-1 | 37 km ~ 3 mb |
| 16 | 88200 | QV | 2000 | 200 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 89000 | Window H ₂ O 150 mm |
| 17 | 165500 | QH | 3000 | 200 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 157000 | H ₂ O 18 mm |
| 18 | 183310±7000 | QH | 2000 | 30 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | AMSU-B | H ₂ O 8 mm |
| 19 | 183310±4500 | QH | 2000 | 30 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | | H ₂ O 4.5 mm |
| 20 | 183310±3000 | QH | 1000 | 30 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | AMSU-B/MHS | H ₂ O 2.5 mm |
| 21 | 183310±1800 | QH | 1000 | 30 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | | H ₂ O 1.2 mm |
| 22 | 183310±1000 | QH | 500 | 30 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | AMSU-B/MHS | H ₂ O 0.5 mm |

| | | MSU | | | AMSU/MHS | | | ATMS | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----|----|------------------|-----|----|---------------------------|-----|
| | Ch | GHz | Pol | Ch | GHz | Pol | Ch | GHz | Pol |
| | | | | 1 | 23.8 | QV | 1 | 23.8 | QV |
| | | | | 2 | 31.399 | QV | 2 | 31.4 | QV |
| | 1 | 50.299 | QV | 3 | 50.299 | QV | 3 | 50.3 | QH |
| | | | | | | | 4 | 51.76 | QH |
| | | | | 4 | 52.8 | QV | 5 | 52.8 | QH |
| | 2 | 53.74 | QH | 5 | 53.595 ± 0.115 | QH | 6 | 53.596 ± 0.115 | QH |
| | | | | 6 | 54.4 | QH | 7 | 54.4 | QH |
| | 3 | 54.96 | QH | 7 | 54.94 | QV | 8 | 54.94 | QH |
| | | | | 8 | 55.5 | QH | 9 | 55.5 | QH |
| | 4 | 57.95 | QH | 9 | fo = 57.29 | QH | 10 | fo = 57.29 | QH |
| | | | | 10 | fo ± 0.217 | QH | 11 | fo±0.3222±0.217 | QH |
| | | | | 11 | fo±0.3222±0.048 | QH | 12 | $fo \pm 0.3222 \pm 0.048$ | QH |
| | | | | 12 | fo ±0.3222±0.022 | QH | 13 | fo±0.3222±0.022 | QH |
| | | | | 13 | fo± 0.3222±0.010 | QH | 14 | fo±0.3222 ±0.010 | QH |
| | | | | 14 | fo±0.3222±0.0045 | QH | 15 | fo± 0.3222±0.0045 | QH |
| | | | | 15 | 89.0 | QV | | | |
| | | | | 16 | 89.0 | QV | 16 | 88.2 | QV |
| | | | | 17 | 157.0 | QV | 17 | 165.5 | QH |
| | | | | | | | 18 | 183.31 ± 7 | QH |
| Exact match to A | MSU/MHS | | | | | | 19 | 183.31 ± 4.5 | QH |
| Only Polarization | different | | | 19 | 183.31 ± 3 | QH | 20 | 183.31 ± 3 | QH |
| Unique Passband | | | | 20 | 191.31 | QV | 21 | 183.31 ± 1.8 | QH |
| Unique Passband from closest AMS | i, and Pol. di SU/MHS cha | tterent nnels | | 18 | 183.31 ± 1 | QH | 22 | 183.31 ± 1 | QH |



Microwave Sounding Instruments from MSU to AMSU/MHS to ATMS



ATMS Field of View Size for the beam width of 2.2° – black line

ATMS Resample to the Field of View Size for the beam width of 3.3°- blue line





ATMS Channel Weighting Functions









- 1. Developed the radiometric two-point calibration in radiance, instead of brightness temperature which is based on Rayleigh-Jeans approximation. The full radiance calibration algorithm will be in IDPS MX8.12 and IDPS Block 2
- 2. Standardized NEdT calculation for ATMS and other microwave sounding instruments using Allan Deviation. The new algorithm has resulted in much stable noise trending and is SI traceable
- 3. Optimized the ATMS de-striping algorithm for the earth scene brightness temperatures and generated 45 days of ATMS TDR data for NWP user community to experiment the impacts of ATMS on global forecast skills
- 4. Developed a physically based model for correcting the radiation from ATMS reflector emission contributed to the earth scene brightness temperature
- 5. Updated ATMS processing coefficient tables (e.g. nonlinearity coefficients, threshold for calibration counts)



S-NPP ATMS On-orbit Performance



| Channel | Accuracy (K) On-Orbit/Spec | NEAT (K) On-Orbit/Spec | Channel | Calibration (K) On-Orbit/Spec | NEAT (K) On-Orbit/Spec |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | /1.00 | 0.25/0.5 | 12 | 0.24/0.75 | 0.59/1.0 |
| 2 | /1.00 | 0.31/0.6 | 13 | 0.13/0.75 | 0.86/1.5 |
| 3 | /0.75 | 0.37/0.7 | 14 | 0.02/0.75 | 1.23/2.2 |
| 4 | /0.75 | 0.28/0.5 | 15 | 0.09/0.75 | 1.95/3.6 |
| 5 | 0.18/0.75 | 0.28/0.5 | 16 | /1.00 | 0.29/0.3 |
| 6 | 0.09/0.75 | 0.29/0.5 | 17 | /1.00 | 0.46/0.6 |
| 7 | 0.02/0.75 | 0.27/0.5 | 18 | 0.50/1.00 | 0.38/0.8 |
| 8 | 0.06/0.75 | 0.27/0.5 | 19 | 0.36/1.00 | 0.46/0.8 |
| 9 | 0.06/0.75 | 0.29/0.5 | 20 | 0.31/1.00 | 0.54/0.8 |
| 10 | 0.18/0.75 | 0.43/0.75 | 21 | 0.13/1.00 | 0.59/0.8 |
| 11 | 0.22/0.75 | 0.56/1.0 | 22 | 0.40/1.00 | 0.73/0.9 |

Note: On-orbit calibration accuracy for ATMS antenna brightness temperatures at upper air sounding channels is derived from the forward model (*see Zou, X., Lin Lin and F. Weng, 2013: Absolute Calibration of ATMS Upper Level Temperature Sounding Channels Using GPS RO Observations , IEEE Trans. Geosci. and Remote Sens., 10.1109/TGRS.2013.2250981*)









ATMS SDR Science Advances



- Radiometric Calibration
 - $\checkmark Non-linearity \ correction$
 - ✓ Calibration accuracy
 - ✓ Lunar intrusion correction
- Noise Characterization
 - \checkmark Standard deviation
 - ✓ Allan deviation
- SDR Algorithm
 - $\checkmark \quad TDR \ to \ SDR \ conversion$
 - ✓ Resampling SDR through Back-Gilbert theory
 - ✓ Xcal with respect to AMSU for climate applications
 - \checkmark Striping and characterization
- Advanced Developments
 - \checkmark TDR correction from antenna emission
 - ✓ Full radiance calibration





- Define SI-traceable noise evaluation algorithm using Allan deviation method*
- Channel noise by Allan deviation based algorithm is lower than that provided by heritage standard deviation based algorithm
- Annual oscillation of channel noise is removed
- Long term trending of S-NPP ATMS channel noise by Allan deviation algorithm started to be provided in STAR ICVS-LTM from June 17, 2015



Tian, M., X. Zou and F. Weng, "Use of Allan Deviation for Characterizing Satellite Microwave Sounders Noise Equivalent Differential Temperature (NEDT)", IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., (Accepted).



Impacts of ATMS Striping Effects on Channel Noise Characterization

| Channel | NED | Т (К) | Allan Deviation (K) | | |
|---------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|--|
| Channel | Before | After | Before | After | |
| 1 | 0.3490 | 0.3256 | 0.2324 | 0.2171 | |
| 2 | 0.3977 | 0.3593 | 0.3052 | 0.2843 | |
| 3 | 0.3945 | 0.3464 | 0.3473 | 0.3248 | |
| 4 | 0.3279 | 0.2883 | 0.2772 | 0.2581 | |
| 5 | 0.3232 | 0.2871 | 0.2603 | 0.2422 | |
| 6 | 0.3433 | 0.3069 | 0.2714 | 0.2526 | |
| 7 | 0.3518 | 0.3201 | 0.2559 | 0.2382 | |
| 8 | 0.3453 | 0.3138 | 0.2518 | 0.2345 | |
| 9 | 0.3421 | 0.3046 | 0.2816 | 0.2628 | |
| 10 | 0.4542 | 0.3968 | 0.3981 | 0.3716 | |
| 11 | 0.5675 | 0.4900 | 0.5277 | 0.4922 | |
| 12 | 0.6140 | 0.5365 | 0.5534 | 0.5174 | |
| 13 | 0.8718 | 0.7527 | 0.8123 | 0.7593 | |
| 14 | 1.1849 | 1.0179 | 1.1479 | 1.0727 | |
| 15 | 1.8476 | 1.5651 | 1.8319 | 1.7110 | |
| 16 | 0.3914 | 0.3578 | 0.2692 | 0.2501 | |
| 17 | 0.9237 | 0.8865 | 0.3954 | 0.3650 | |
| 18 | 0.5496 | 0.5103 | 0.3479 | 0.3230 | |
| 19 | 0.6637 | 0.6149 | 0.4041 | 0.3740 | |
| 20 | 0.7636 | 0.7039 | 0.4859 | 0.4508 | |
| 21 | 0.8862 | 0.8202 | 0.5239 | 0.4848 | |
| 22 | 1.1194 | 1.0337 | 0.6712 | 0.6217 | |

- Channel noise reduced after applying striping mitigation algorithm
- 45-day de-striping BUFR data generated for NWP impact study



Qin, Z., X. Zou and F. Weng, 2013: Analysis of ATMS and AMSU striping noise from their earth scene observations. J. Geophy. Res., 118, 13,214-13,229, doi: 10.1002/2013JD020399

Ma, Y. and X. Zou, 2015: Optimal filters for striping noise mitigation within ATMS calibration counts. IEEE Trans. Geo. Remote Sensing, (in revision)



- Flat rotating reflector has an emission and affects the accuracy in computing the calibration target temperatures in two point calibration equations
- In the earth scene scanning, the antenna brightness temperature in the two-point calibration equation contains emission that must be further corrected



$$\varepsilon_N = \sqrt{16\pi e_0 f / \sigma}$$

0.0025 to 0.0065

• An algorithm is being developed for ATMS TDR correction





ATMS TDR Scan Bias from Pitch-Over Maneuver Data





NPP ATMS pitch maneuver observations show channel related scan angle dependent feature, indicate the scan bias is not inherent feature of the scene





For Quasi-V(TDR):

$$T_{b,r}^{Qv} = T_b^{Qv} + \varepsilon_h (T_r - T_b^h) + [\varepsilon_v (T_r - T_b^v) - \varepsilon_h (T_r - T_b^h)] \sin^2 \theta$$

For Quasi-H (TDR)

$$T_{b,r}^{Qh} = T_b^{Qh} + \varepsilon_h (T_r - T_b^h) + [\varepsilon_v (T_r - T_b^v) - \varepsilon_h (T_r - T_b^h)] \cos^2 \theta$$

The second and third terms are the biases related to the reflector emission

At an incident angle of 45 degree to the plane reflector, the Fresnel equation becomes

$$\varepsilon_v = 2\varepsilon_h - \varepsilon_h^2$$

Yang, H. and F. Weng, 2015: Estimation of ATMS Antenna Emission from cold space observations, IEEE Geosci. Trans. Remote. Sens, Submitted

The Reflector-Emission Bias for Space View















Package: ADL 4.2 with MX 8.8

Data Ingested:

6 orbits S-NPP RDR data (17829 – 17834 from GRAVITE) on April 7, 2015

Output Data:

• TDR/SDR/GEO using full radiance calibration (FRC) algorithm

Analysis Provided:

- Global mean TDR-RTM bias (ADL-FRC vs IDPS) by channels based on 6 orbits data
- Global mean TDR bias (ADL-FPC vs IDPS) by channels based on 6 orbits data







ATMS TDR-RTM Bias using FRP (Blue) and using IDPS OPS (Red)

ATMS full radiance calibration (FRC) performs two corrections: 1) replacing the brightness temperatures (R-J approximation) with Plank function radiance and 2) reversing the sign in nonlinearity term. WG bands are affected by two corrections where the rest bands are mainly affected by the nonlinearity term.



Mean TDR Bias [K]

Global Mean TDR Bias



ATMS TDR Bias (Full Radiance Process - IDPS OPS)







Radiance calibration algorithm

- A full radiance calibration is adopted as the standard calibration method for both the two-point linear calibration and non-linear correction

Nonlinearity correction algorithm consistent with NOAA/METOPAMSU-A/MHS

- Maximum nonlinearity was expressed as a function of μ parameter
- Nonlinear parameter was expressed as a function of instrument temperature

Reflector emissivity correction

- Physical model for antenna reflector emissivity correction

Lunar intrusion correction algorithm

- LI is modeled as a function of antenna response, solid angle of the Moon and the microwave emission from the Moon
- The new correction model with best fitted parameters from ATMS observations can effectively reduce the calibration error due to lunar contamination on cold counts

De-stripping algorithm

- Based on power spectrum analysis, stripping index and de-striping algorithm was developed to reduce the flicker noise in calibration data and TDR products
- The flicker noise and correlation on the JPSS1 ATMS is much lower than S-NPP ATMS

TDR Remapping algorithm

- B-G algorithm was developed to explore the advantage of ATMS oversampling feature
- By using B-G algorithm, remapping coefficients were generated offline, to remap ATMS observation to different FOV size


ATMS SDR Algorithm Change from SNPP to JPSS









- J1 Cal/Val Overview
 - Beta Maturity: L+1 Month
 - Provisional Maturity: L+3 Months
 - Validated Maturity: L+12 Months
 - Pre-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans
 - Analyze J1 ATMS TVAC regression test data
 - Derive coefficients for SDR algorithm and deliver JPSS-1 ATMS SDR PCT
 - Test JPSS-1 proxy data for SDR algorithm functional testing
 - Use JPSS-1 proxy data (from TVAC) to verify delivered PCT
 - Analyze spectral response function datasets
 - Verify instrument mounting matrix for geolocation accuracy assessment
 - Post-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans
 - Conduct 30+ post-launch cal/val activities following JPSS ATMS Cal/Val plan





- Proxy data
 - JPSS-1 ATMS RDR from S-NPP mission data
 - JPSS-1 ATMS RDR from JPSS-1 ATMS TVAC data
 - JPSS-1 ATMS spacecraft level RDR

• Test Results

- Test results using JPSS-1 ATMS RDR from S-NPP mission data have been compared with those from SNPP.
 - IDPS code was updated to handle JPSS-1 granule ID (J01)
 - Geolocation is not accurate. Updated data will be delivered for additional testing.
- JPSS-1 ATMS PCT will be verified using RDR from JPSS-1 ATMS TVAC data
- Validation system readiness:
 - The additional validation capabilities is currently being developed at STAR and will be ready well before J1 launch.



JPSS-1 ATMS TVAC Data Analysis Prior to Rework (1/2)



SNPP TVAC Data (RC1 230K)

J-1 TVAC Data (1/10/14)





JPSS-1 ATMS TVAC Data Analysis Prior to Rework (2/2)



Green – values obtained from the best TVAC data and Blue – specification





- JPSS-1 ATMS CalVal Plan has been developed, including task networks, role and responsibility, caval methodology, expected outcomes
- ATMS on-orbit NEDT is well characterized in new Allan deviation method. The performance meets specification
- ATMS house-keeping parameters are being monitored through ICVS to support NASA commanding operations of scan reversal.
- Antenna reflector emission is fully characterized and the algorithm for correcting the emission from the reflector is ready for implementation
- All the calval sciences are well documented and published through peerreviewed process





- For Suomi NPP ATMS, we will continue refining the SDR processing system
 - Begin ATMS mission-cycle reprocessing
 - Closely monitor S-NPP ATMS health status after implementing scan drive daily reversal
 - Improve radiative transfer (RT) model for more accurate simulation of window channels and cloud radiance measurements for validation
 - Refine SDR algorithm modules, including lunar correction, antenna emission, TDR to SDR conversion at window channels, and de-striping algorithm
- For JPSS -1 ATMS, we continue supporting pre-launch testing, instrument characterization and calibration data development
 - Complete the analyze J1 ATMS TVAC regression data after rework
 - Characterize the ATMS side lobe and cross-pol from antenna pattern data
 - Study the impacts of J1 spectral response function on forward model
- For the JPSS polar follow-on mission
 - Support the waiver studies in future instruments
 - Support the new instrumentation





CrIS SDR Overview

Yong Han

CrIS SDR Science Team

STAR JPSS Science Team Annual Meeting August 24-28, 2015







- SNPP/JPSS-1 Instrument and SDR Spec Overview
- Team Members
- S-NPP Product Overview
- JPSS-1 Readiness
- Summary
- Path Forward



CrIS System











CrIS SDR specifications. Black – normal spectral resolution (NSR); blue – full spectral resolution (FSR)

| Band | Spectral Range (cm ⁻¹) | # of Chan. | Spectral Res. (cm ⁻¹) | NEdN @287K BB (mW/m²/sr/cm ⁻¹) | Radiometric Uncertainty @287K (%) | Frequency Uncertainty (ppm) | Geolocation Uncertainty (km) |
|-------|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 650-1095 | 713 | 0.625 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 10 | 1.5 |
| LVVIK | 650-1095 | 713 | 0.625 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 10 | 1.5 |
| | 1210-1750 | 433 | 1.25 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 10 | 1.5 |
| | 1210-1750 | 865 | 0.625 | 0.085 | 0.58 | 10 | 1.5 |
| SWIR | 2155-2550 | 159 | 2.50 | 0.007 | 0.77 | 10 | 1.5 |
| | 2155-2550 | 633 | 0.625 | 0.014 | 0.77 | 10 | 1.5 |

Number of FSR channels: 2211; Number of NSR channels: 1305



CrIS SDR Team Members



| PI | Organization |
|-------------------|---|
| Yong Han | NOAA/STAR |
| Hank Revercomb | U. of Wisconsin (UW) |
| Larrabee Strow | U. of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC) |
| Deron Scott | Space Dynamic Lab (SDL) |
| Dan Mooney | MIT/LL |
| Degui Gu | NGAS |
| Dave Jonson | NASA Langley |
| Lawrence Suwinski | Exelis |
| Joe Predina | Logistikos |
| Carrie Root | JPSS/DPA |
| Wael Ibrahim | Raytheon |





- Excellent instrument performances since the beginning of the mission
- Stable SDR product with radiometric and spectral calibration accuracies and noise performance exceeding requirements with large margins
- Successful transition normal spectral resolution (NSR) mode to full spectral resolution (FSR) mode on 12/4/2014
- Both NSR and FSR SDRs are routinely generated
- Both NSR and FSR SDRs are monitored with web-based ICVS

SDR Processing Time Line





S-NPP CrIS SDR Overview 2/3 (Performance Stability)



Excellent instrument performances since the beginning of the mission









- Successfully completed environmental test campaign (instrument currently at BATC for spacecraft level testing)
- Determined the pre-launch version of the calibration coefficients and parameters
- Developed and delivered the first version of the J1 CrIS SDR algorithm/software
- Characterized the instrument performances with the pre-launch test data
- Addressed the only instrument science waiver for the LW FOV8 partial obscuration
- Made significant progress in improving SDR algorithm to reduce radiance ringing artifacts (updates to be delivered in December 2015)
- Completed initial version of J1 CalVal plan





NOAR



- J1 SDR code & LUTs delivered in January, 2015, able to process both NSR and FSR SDRs
- An update will be delivered in December 2015



J1 Algorithm Improves Calibration Uncertainty



From STAR





Ringing artifacts are significantly reduced



J1 CrIS Noise Performance



From SDL







From Exelis



Excellent long term repeatability



Percent of 287K Radiance

500

1000

From Exelis

CrIS J1 Radiometric Uncertainty

Spec Limits 1.00% Radiometric Uncertainty Requirement EOL Nominal Total Roll Up As Built ICT Radiance Knowledge 0.10% Non-Linearity (MN) FOV-to-FOV Electrical Crosstalk in Same Band All Other Terms (MN) 0.01%

Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)

1500

Excellent Radiometric Uncertainty Performance

2000

2500



Instrument Line Shape Parameters Derived for Spectral Calibration



Instrument Line Shape parameters derived from TVAC data (UMBC):

| | LW Y | LW X | MW Y | MW X | SW Y | SW X |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| FOV 1 | 19266 | 19266 | 19173 | 19173 | 19125 | 19125 |
| FOV 2 | 0 | 19313 | 0 | 19205 | 0 | 19209 |
| FOV 3 | -19209 | 19209 | -19181 | 19181 | -19141 | 19141 |
| FOV 4 | 19261 | 0 | 19174 | 0 | 19177 | 0 |
| FOV 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FOV 6 | -19219 | 0 | -19142 | 0 | -19167 | 0 |
| FOV 7 | 19282 | -19282 | 19184 | -19184 | 19149 | -19149 |
| FOV 8 | 0 | -19084 | 0 | -19168 | 0 | -19172 |
| FOV 9 | -19287 | -19287 | -19189 | -19189 | -19136 | -19136 |

Neon Calibration: 703.45036

| Band | Y offset | X offset | dR |
|------|----------|----------|-----|
| LW | -601 | -22 | 99 |
| MW | -658 | -10 | -25 |
| SW | -605 | 6 | -63 |



The ILS parameters minimize the difference between observed and calculated spectra



Non-linearity (NL) Correction Coefficients Derived for Radiometric Calibration









- S-NPP CrIS instrument and SDR performances have been in excellent status; no significant degradations have been detected so far
- Both normal and full spectral resolution SDR products are routinely generated, available to public
- J1 CrIS pre-launch performance exceeds specifications
- J1 SDR algorithm/software is a significant improvement over S-NPP's
- There is no critical unresolved issue in both S-NPP and J1 CrIS missions



Path Forward



- S-NPP
 - Continuation of S-NPP CrIS FSR SDR processing
 - Continuation of CalVal; assessment of 5 years of CrIS instrument and SDR performances
 - SDR reprocessing
- JPSS-1
 - Algorithm improvement updates (to be delivered in Dec. 2015)
 - Further TVAC data analysis; ECT characterization (2015-2016)
 - Preparation of post-launch CalVal activities (2015-2016)
- JPSS-2 and beyond
 - Full spectral coverage (650 2760 cm⁻¹; no gaps)
 - Smaller FOV size and larger FOV grid (e.g. 8 km FOV size and 5 x 5 FOV grid)





VIIRS SDR Overview

Name of the Product: VIIRS SDR Contributors: VIIRS SDR Team

Changyong Cao VIIRS SDR Team Lead

Date: August 24, 2015



VIIRS Instrument Overview



•VIIRS is a scanning imaging radiometer onbaord the Suomi NPP, and JPSS satellites in the afternoon orbits with a nominal altitude of 829km at the equator, and swath width of ~3000km;

VIIRS has 22 types of SDRs:

•16 moderate resolution (750m), narrow spectral bands (11 Reflective Solar Bands (RSB); 5 Thermal Emissive Bands (TEB))

•5 imaging resolution(375m), narrow spectral bands (3 RSB; 2 TEB)

•1 Day Night Band (DNB) imaging (750m), broadband

•VIIRS Onboard calibration relies on the solar diffuser (SD), solar diffuser stability monitor (SDSM), space view (SV), and the blackbody (BB);

•Vicarious calibration also used (lunar, dark ocean for DNB, and cal/val sites);

•Calibration is performed per band, per scan, per half angle mirror side (HAM), and per detector.





VIIRS instrument



Smoke & fire in Tianjin explosion last week



Algorithm Cal/Val Team Members



| PI | Organization | Team Members | Roles and Responsibilities |
|-------------|--------------|--|---|
| C. Cao | STAR | W. Wang, S. Blonski, S. Uprety, Z. Wang, S. Shao, Y. Bai, B. Zhang, J. Choi, M. Schull, Y. Gu, C. Moeller. | VIIRS SDR calibration/validation for S-NPP, J1, and beyond. Prelaunch calibration LUT development Software code changes ADL test Vicarious calibration Postlaunch monitoring and LUT update |
| F. DeLuccia | Aerospace | G. Moy, E. Haas, C. Fink, D. Moyer | VIIRS operational calibration update; RSB autocal; prelaunch TV data analysis; |
| J. Xiong | VCST | J. McIntire, G. Li, N. Lei, T. Schwarting | VIIRS TV data analysis; prelaunch characterization; LUT development |



VIIRS SDR Product Requirements from JPSS L1RD



| Attribute | Threshold | Objective |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Center Wavelength | 412 to 12,013 nm | 412 to 12,013 nm |
| Bandpass | 15 to 1,900 nm | 15 to 1,900 nm |
| Max. Polarization Sensitivity | 2.5 to 3.0 % | 2.5 to 3.0 % |
| Accuracy @ Ltyp | 0.4 to 30 % | 0.4 to 30 % |
| SNR @ Ltyp or NEdT @ 270 K | 6 to 416 or 0.07 to 2.5 K | 6 to 416 or 0.07 to 2.5 K |
| FOV @ Nadir | 0.4 to 0.8 km | 0.4 to 0.8 km |
| FOV @ Edge-of-Scan | 0.8 to 1.6 km | 0.8 to 1.6 km |
| Ltyp or Ttyp | 0.12 to 155 W·m ⁻² ·sr ⁻¹ ·mm ⁻¹ or 210 to 380 K | 0.12 to 155 W·m ⁻² ·sr ⁻¹ ·mm ⁻¹ or 210 to 380 K |
| Dynamic Range | 0.12 to 702 W·m ⁻² ·sr ⁻¹ ·mm ⁻¹ or 190 to 634 K | 0.12 to 702 W·m ⁻² ·sr ⁻¹ ·mm ⁻¹ or 190 to 634 K |
| | | |



S-NPP Product Overview



S-NPP Cal/Val Accomplishments

- Developed validation time series at 30+ vacarious sites and at SNOs , DCC and Lunar;
- Developed VIIRS DNB radiometric stability monitoring and geolocation validation using point sources;
- Developed common geo processing capabilities;
- Successfully transitioned DNB stray light correction LUT from NG to STAR. LUTs are being delivered monthly for IDPS operational processing since January 2015;

Known Product Deficiencies:



- Calibration uncertainty for reflective solar bands is within 2% for most bands;
- SWIR bands such as M11 has large uncertainties due to lack of traceable source;
- Bowtie deletion may cause discontinuities in imagery with satellite projection, although not an issue with earth projection;
- M15 bias at 200k can be upto 0.5k, although meeting the spec.
- Striping may be apparent in both RSB and TEB bands, although below noise level.

• LTM: Monitoring Tools/Website

- VIIRS SDR home page: http://ncc.nesdis.noaa.gov
- ICVS: <u>http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/icvs/</u> status_NPP_VIIRS.php





5

J1 Algorithm Summary

Major changes to the product algorithm(s)/Improvements:

- J1 VIIRS DNB nonlinear response at high scan angles required a performance waiver with the implementation of Agg mode 21 and 21/26:
- VIIRS DNB GEO code analysis shows that J1 DNB GEO product cannot be generated correctly without code change.
- Block 2 ADL VIIRS GEO code was modified to support J1 DNB agg mode change.
- J1 GEO code change was verified using MDR 28 and MDR 39 J1 RDRs
- The Modified code can support Agg21, Agg21/26 correctly
- Backward compatible with SNPP.

| J1 VIIRS DNB Geo GEO_determine_DNB_sample_time_offsets. | code changes . Determine DNB sample time offsets |
|---|--|
| GEO_interpolate_mirror_encoder.cpp GEO_interpolate_telescope_encoder.cpp | 2. Extrapolating of encoder data |
| ProSdrViirsGeoDataStructs.h | ٦ |
| GEO_process_parameters.cpp | |
| fixSatAngles.cpp | |
| ProSdrViirsGeo.cpp | 3. Hard-coded nadir frame # |
| geolocateDecim.cpp | |
| geolocateAllRecPix.cpp | Files with red color have relatively more changes. |
| GEO_parameters.h | - Т |
| ProViirsGeoRectangle.h | |
| ProGeoloc_createInterpRectangles.cpp | 4. Interpolation rectangles |
| calcModFromImg.cpp | |
| aeolocateGranule.cpp | |

Totally 14 files were modified

All files are located at \${ADL_HOME}/SDR/VIIRS/Geo, except for *ProViirsGeoRectangle.h & ProGeoloc_createInterpRectangles.cpp are located at* \${ADL_HOME}/include & \${ADL_HOME}/Geolocation/Util/src. respectively.







- J1 Cal/Val Overview
 - Timelines for Beta, Provisional and Validated Maturity
 - O Beta: L+10/40 days to L+60?
 - Initial power on: L+10
 - O Outgassing: L+10 to L+39
 - Door deploy: L+40: ?
 - Provisional: L+60 to L+90?
 - Validated: L+180
 - O Pre-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans
 - Cal/val plan developed, currently under review by external team members
 - O Post-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans
 - Cal/val plan developed, currently under review by external team members

JPSS VIIRS Calibration and Validation Plan

Table of Contents

| LIST OF FIGURES | 5 |
|--|----|
| LIST OF TABLES | 6 |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | 7 |
| 1. OBJECTIVE | |
| 1.1. Purpose of this Document | 11 |
| 1.2. Specific Objectives | 11 |
| 1.3. Scope of Document | 11 |
| 1.4. Related Documents | 11 |
| 1.5. Revision History | 11 |
| 2. PRODUCT DESCRIPTION | 12 |
| 2.1. Product Overview | 12 |
| 2.2. Product Requirements | 14 |
| CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION STRATEGY. | |
| 3.1. JPSS-1 VIIRS Pre-launch Characterization | |
| 3.2. VIIRS Cal/Val Post-launch Tasks | |
| 3.3. Calibration Tools | |
| 3.4. Correlative Data Sources | |
| 3.4.1. Ground-based Measurements (To be updated later) | |
| 3.4.2 Satellite Derived Products | 40 |
| 3.4.3. Field Campaign Measurements | 41 |
| 3.4.4. Numerical Weather Prediction Forecast/Analysis Fields (To be | |
| updated later) | 41 |
| 3.4.5. Special Collections | 41 |
| 4. METHODOLOGY OF CAL/VAL TASKS | 42 |
| 4.1. Noise Measurement Prior to RTA Scanning | 42 |
| 4.2. Operability, Noise, SNR Verification with Nadir Door Closed | 42 |
| 4.3. In-Scan Aggregation Verification-Non-DNB Bnads | |
| 4.4. Dual Gain Band and DNB Transition Verification | 43 |
| 4.5. On-Board Bow-Tie Deletion Verification | |
| 4.6. DC-Restore Functionality and Performance Check | |
| 4.7. Calibrator Visual Inspection | 44 |
| 4.8. SD and SDSM Characterization | 45 |
| 4.9. Onboard Calibrator Black Body (OBCBB) Temperature Uniformity | 45 |
| 4.10. Strylight VIIRS RSB Solar Diffuser Stryleight Analyis of Non-Polar | |
| SD Data | 46 |
| 4.11. Temporal Analysis of SD Signal over Polar Region | 46 |
| 4.12. Temporal Analysis of Solar Diffuser Stability Montor (SMSD) Data | 47 |
| 4.13. Yaw Maneuver Analysis-Solar Attnuation Screen (SAS) | |
| Transmission | 47 |
| 4.14. Analysis of SDSM Data with Model Assisted Extrapolation of Screen | |
| Calibration Data | 47 |
| 4.15. Crosstalk, Echo, and Ghost Investigation | |
| 4.16. Image Analysis (Striping, Glints and Other Artifacts) | |
| | |





- Major Accomplishments and Highlights Moving Towards J1
 - Developed J1 VIIRS DNB waiver mitigation and delivered pre-operational software to the program on-time, which greatly reduced program schedule and cost risks (Wang & Lee), in addition to operational straylight correction.
 - Prepared all 47 J1 VIIRS LUTs (ver1.0) based on analysis of prelaunch test data, tested using ADL and simulated J1 data, and delivered to the program(Aerospace/VCST/STAR);
 - Developed and demonstrated VIIRS DNB radiometric and geolocation monitoring/characterization capabilities using nightlight point sources (Cao & Bai, 2014,RS.), which is critically needed for J1 postlaunch validation of the waivers;
 - Expanded validation time series with the 30+ validation sites worldwide, with added capabilities in the SWIR bands, as well as comparing with GOSAT FTS hyperspectral observations (Uprety & Cao, 2015, RSE);
 - Completed J1 VIIRS prelaunch test data analysis (VCST/Aerospace/STAR)
 - Improved RSB autocal maturity;
 - Geolocation thermal chip development for the infrared bands;
 - Modeled VIIRS solar diffuser degradation using surface roughness and metrology;
 - Active nightlight SBIR project feasibility study in support of VIIRS DNB cal/val.





- Issues/Mitigation
 - Need to work closely with flight to test DNB op21 and op21/26 postlaunch
 - Other waivers still need to be addressed:
 - SWIR nonlinearity
 - Bad detector
 - Saturation
- Stake Holder Interactions, Users and Impact Assessment Plans
 - O List of Users/Stake Holders
 - SST, Ocean Color, Imagery, Aerosols, Ice temperature, and other products
 - User Impact Assessment (examples)

Work with SST team to address bow-tie, striping, and other issues.Work with imagery team to address bad detector issue.Work with Ocean color team to address polarization sensitivity issues.Work with Cryosphere team to assess impact of M15 bias.



FY16 Milestones/Deliverables



| Task Category | Task/Description | Start | Finish | Deliverable |
|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------|---|
| Development (D) | DNB&SWIR band dual calibration; Improve straylight correction; RDR toolkit; Geolocation control points; SD degradation model | 10/2015 | 09/2016 | Science code & LUTs & data |
| Integration & Testing (I) | J1 prelaunch LUT and code change testing support | 10/2015 | 09/2016 | Code & LUT updates |
| Calibration & Validation (C) | Support the RSB autocal (operational); Offline RSB/DNB/TEB cal/val analysis; Quantify striping & develop mitigation; Common geo validation; Prepare field campaign validation for J1 | 10/2015 | 09/2016 | Improved radiometric & geolocation accuracy; LUT; publications |
| Maintenance | Maintain the performance trending at 30 sites Update documentation | 10/2015 | 09/2016 | Continuity |
| LTM & Anomaly Resolution (L) | LTM for all RSB bands using DCC, lunar, SNOs, and other vicarious targets | 10/2015 | 09/2016 | Continuity |




The VIIRS SDR team has made great progress:

- Supported J1 VIIRS waiver studies
- Developed and enhanced vicarious validation site time series at 30+ sites
- Developed geolocation software code modifications for J1
- Developed and delivered J1 VIIRS LUTs
- Developing common geo and geolocation validation capabilities

The VIIRS SDR team will continue to support SNPP, J1, J2 & beyond

- Refine J1 VIIRS LUTs, and expand validation capabilities
- Feasibility study developing value-added SDR L1.5 product
- Reprocessing
- Support J2 enhancements





OMPS SDR Overview

Chunhui Pan and Fuzhong Weng

SDR Products OMPS SDR Team August 24, 2015







- OMPS Algorithm Cal/Val Team PIs
- OMPS SNPP/JPSS-1 Instrument Overview
- OMPS S-NPP Product Overview
- OMPS JPSS-1 Readiness
- Summary and Path Forward





| PI Name | Organization | Primary Roles |
|--------------|--------------|---|
| Fuzhong Weng | NOAA/STAR | Budget and coordination; instrument and product performance monitoring; TOMRAD/VLIDORT modeling |
| Glen Jaross | NASA | Instrument scientist; TVAC data acquisition and analysis; SDR algorithm. |
| Chunhui Pan | NOAA/STAR | NOAA Technical Lead; OMPS SDR cal/val science, code development, TVAC data analysis; SDR algorithm. |
| Maria Caponi | Aerospace | Algorithm changes coordination; DR and issues tracking |
| Sarah Lipscy | BATC | Instrument scientist; prelaunch test; |
| Wael Ibrahim | Raytheon | IDPS operations |



OMPS J1 Instrument Overview



- Enhanced spatial resolution with new timing patterns
 - Provides Total Column ozone data w/ 17x17 km² IFOV at nadir
 - Provides ozone profiles in 5 ground pixels of 50x50 km² at nadir

Configuration

- Push-broom 110 deg. cross-track FOV telescope
- Two grating spectrometers
 - \gg NM covers 300 420 nm
 - » NP covers 250 nm to 310 nm
- CCD optical detector for each spectrometer
- The LP will not be present for J-1
- NM slit redesigned to reduce "puckering"
- Optical mounts redesigned to improve boresight stability
- Modified optical alignment permits wavelengths up to ~420nm to be measured -- potentially enhances science products and help to correct nadir geolocation and stray light OOB.
- Generation of three SDR products: EV SDRs, Cal. SDRs (offline), and GEOs

Onboard Calibrators

- Light-emitting diode provides linearity calibration
- Reflective quasi-volume diffusers (QVD) maintains calibration stability

Products

 Provide globe maps every 24 hours of amount of ozone and volumetric concentration in a vertical column of atmosphere with a 4- days revisit



Spatial resolution will be altered to provide low, medium and high spatial resolution data



Instrument Specification



Dominant contribution to accuracy

Spatial Properties

- Cross-track MTF at nadir >.5 at .01cycles/Km
- Cross-track NP FOV >16.7 degrees
- Cross-track NM FOV >110 degrees

Radiometric Accuracy

- Pixel-pixel radiometric calibration <.5%
- Non linearity 2% full well
- NL knowledge <.5%
- On-orbit wavelength calibration .01 nm
- Stray Light signal <1%
- Intra-orbit wavelength stability .02 nm
- Band Pass Shape Knowledge 2%
- Solar Irradiance < 7%
- λ normalized radiance <2%

Dominant contribution to precision

Radiometric Precision Terms

- SNR 1000 for TC, varies for NP
- Inter-orbital Thermal Wavelength Shift .02 nm

Geolocation Error Terms

- Boresight alignment knowledge uncertainty between nadir instrument interface and nadir alignment reference <160 arcsec
- Total cumulative boresight alignment shift (between final ground calibration and on-orbit operations <500 arcsec

Prelaunch calibration has verified that instrument characteristics match the sensor performance needed to meet the products requirements.





Prelaunch lab test shows that J1 OMPS calibration stability and accuracy meets science requirements

| | Absolute 1or Fractional Uncertainty (%) | | | Albedo 1 Fractional Uncertainty (%) | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| Source of Uncertainty | Radiance | | Irradiance | | λ - independent | | λ - dependent | |
| | NP | TC | NP | TC | NP | TC | NP | TC |
| SNPP Goniometry | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.36 |
| J1 Goniometry | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.1 | 0.11 |
| OMPS NPP RSS Total | 3.383 | 3.067 | 3.499 | 3.194 | 1.653 | 1.717 | 0.426 | 0.497 |
| OMPS J1 RSS Total | 2.637 | 1.646 | 2.731 | 1.8 | 1.587 | 1.389 | 0.405 | 0.437 |
| Requirement | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |





- OMPS EV SDR products maturity milestone
 - Beta maturity since March 2012
 - Provisional maturity since March 2013
 - Validated maturity since August 2015
- SNPP OMPS orbital performance is stable and SDRs meet validated maturity requirement
- A CCR is in preparation to move forward with an upgrade of the S-NPP OMPS FSW to v6.0. This upgrade improves capabilities for better products with the S-NPP OMPS measurements.
- OMPS long term monitoring via. STAR ICVS provides much of the information to characterize the S-NPP OMPS NM, NP and LP in their cal/val studies.

http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/icvs/status_NPP_OMPS_NM.php http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/icvs/status_NPP_OMPS_NP.php http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/icvs/status_NPP_OMPS_LP.php





OMPS J1 SDR algorithm is enhanced to process current SDR product content for medium spatial resolution. Additional capabilities include: FSW6 engineering headers, Rice decompression, APID filter capable to process new APIDs (four new APID values), J01 spacecraft ID, aggregation, sparse spectral data and new wavelength format table.





OMPS J1 NP Algorithm Summary



The J1 NP SDR required the following major changes:

- FSW6 engineering headers
- Rice decompression
- Four new APID values
- J01 spacecraft ID
- J1 algorithm LUTs





Example of Proxy SDR products from J1 Algorithm



50x50 km resolution for NP

16.7x50 km resolution for NM



J1 algorithm was tested for software validation and geophysical validation. SNPP derived RDRs were used as inputs. Results were verified to have the correct data structure, engineering data, and science data



OMPS J1 Cal/Val Overview (1)



- SDR Maturity Timeline
 - "Beta" L+ 3M
 - "Provisional" around L+6M.
 - "Validated/calibrated" around L+12M
- Pre-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans

| Year | Tasks/Activities | Deliverables |
|------|--|--|
| 2015 | SDR Algorithm development and enhancement to meet required performance Ground test data analysis and software development. | Initial Pre-launch LUTs Software code packages Cal/Val documentation |
| 2016 | Further analysis on pre-launch test data and refinement of LUTs. Establish sensor initial settings and parameters Sensor and Algorithm Parameter Updates SDR software tool develop to handle diagnostic data | Improved version of Pre- launch LUTs Revised Cal/Val documentation |





• Post-Launch Calibration/Validation Plans

| Year, Phase | Tasks/Activities | Deliverables | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 2017, PLT to ICV | Execute the Cal/Val tasks described in the Calval. Plan Baseline instrument parameters for nominal operation Adjust instrument settings when necessary Modify measurement sequences when needed Update appropriate SDR LUTs and coefficients that optimize the sensor's performance. Make the instrument and software properly staged for Intensive Cal/Val (ICV) activities. | Provisional SDR products | |
| 2018, ICV to LTM | Improve the calibration; establish long term monitoring. Validate the SDR products through verification and cross-comparison with external independent measurements and models. Provide radiances that are stable and accurate to support EDR retrievals. | Validated SDR products | |





Major Accomplishments

19 CCRs were implemented into operation since May 2014.

- Updated 6 LUTs wavelength, solar flux and radiance coefficients for both NM and NP (CCR 15-2547/2548). SNPP NP and NM SDRs reach validated maturity requirement.
- OMPS J1 SDR algorithm has been implemented and tested and delivered to DPES for further testing and integration (CCRs 15-2482/2483, 15-2469, 2388).
- Updated SNPP NM stray light LUT (CCR 14-2100) to account for the OOB response.
- Completed transition of Dark Cal. SDRs to STAR and GRAVITE
- Evaluated, converted and formatted J1 SCDBs contents to J1 algorithm LUTs for both NM and NP.

Highlights Moving Towards J1

- Refinement and verification of J1 SDR algorithm LUTs and operation tables
- Test of integrated chain for J1 algorithm LUTs through RDR, SDR and EDR.
- Transition SNPP Solar Cal. SDRs to STAR and GRAVITE





370 380

370 380

From S-NPP experiences and initial evaluation of the J1 OMPS prelaunch data, the major challenges are sensor spectral wavelength calibration and bandpass calibration

Wavelength shifted ~ 0.15 nm from ground to orbit. The figure shows percent difference between observed and synthetic solar flux



Wavelength dependent accuracy in cross-track scan direction exceed our expectation but can be corrected. The figure shows relative difference between measured and calculated NR





Stake Holder Interactions, Users and Impact Assessment Plans



- OMPS SDR users/stake holders
 - CPC Climate Prediction Center
 - NCEP National Centers for Environmental Prediction
 - NRL Naval Research Laboratory
 - USGS United States Geological Survey
 - EPA Environmental Protection Agency
 - NOAA ARL Air Resources Laboratory
 - NOAA VAAC Volcanic Ash Advisory Center
 - STAR Center for Satellite Applications and Research
 - CLASS Comprehensive Large Array-data Stewardship System
- The J1 OMPS products will be used by the users the same way as they use SNPP data.
- Users won't be negatively impacted with the J1 data that is of comparable quality as SNPP SDR and EDR products.
- The Version 8 Algorithms are in transition to become the operational EDR algorithms. The SDR and EDR team have significant interaction and cooperative planning and development at these algorithms move forward.





- OMPS SNPP NP and NM EV SDR products meet the validated maturity requirement. SDRs products are stable.
 - Our current strategy is to stabilize and monitor SDR products quality conditions at the already established product maturity that represent sensor attainable levels.
 - Utilize ADL and GADA for testing and validation of calibration tables and data anomaly analysis.
 - Deploy already established radio transfer model for cross-sensor calibration.
 - SNPP dark Cal. SDR has been transitioned to STAR and GRAVITE. The transition of solar Cal. SDR will be completed in FY2016.
- OMPS J1tasks and schedule are well defined and on schedule. Risk is low for SDR. performance.
 - Prelaunch calibration analysis shows OMPS J1 meets system requirement.
 - J1 algorithm LUTs were derived from SCDBs and will be refined and verified through a integrated test from RDR, SDR to EDR.
 - J1 algorithm is being implemented into IDPS Block 2.0. Results will be evaluated and reviewed by OMPS science team.



FY16 Milestones



- Establish sensor initial settings and parameters for J1 launch preparation
 - Further analysis on pre-launch test data and refinement of SDR algorithm LUTs
 - Sensor and Algorithm Parameter Updates
- Refine and verify SDR algorithm LUTs
 - Measurement: Sample Tables, Macrotable, Timing Pattern
 - Spectrometric LUTs: Spectral Response, Spectral Registration, Wavelengths
 - Radiometric LUTS: Calibration Coefficients, CF-Earth, Darks, Linearity, Stray Light, Solar Irradiance, Observed Solar, Predicted Solar
 - Geolocation LUT: Mounting Matrix and Field Angle Map
 - Table version LUT
- Complete integration test of J1 algorithm chain of RDR-SDR-EDR
 - Synthetic datasets will be used to test full range of spatial and spectral domain of J1 sensor beyond NPP sensor capabilities
- Develop SDR software tools to process diagnostic data and perform offline calibration
- Complete SNPP solar Cal. SDR transition to STAR and GRAVITE
- Revise and finalize Cal/Val documentations
- Outreach to Community: AMS, EUMETSAT, IGARSS, and CALCON.
- J2 and Beyond: OMPS Limb Profiler SDR algorithm preparation is on scheduled
 - Gridded measurements of atmospheric limb Earth-view for three Nadir orbital track.
 - Spectral coverage from 290 to 1000 nm at 1-km tangent height spacing.





Integrated Calibration/Validation System (ICVS) Overview

Name of the Product: ICVS Contributors: ICVS Team Presenter: Ninghai Sun Date: August 24, 2015

Suomi NPP

- Spacecraft
- ATMS >>
- CrIS
- CrIS ESR.
- VIIRS
- OMPS Nadir Mapper
- OMPS Nadir Profiler
- OMPS Limb Profiler

Search

Go

CrIS

GOES-15 Imager

Demonstration Site

OMPS Product

90 N

75 N



STAR ICVS Overview





Descending

STAR ICVS Overview





NOAA STAR ICVS are now providing more parameters for applications by broader communities including NWP. It is a very powerful tool and should be set up as a gold standard for all the space agencies to follow in satellite instrument monitoring and trending - Stephen English (ECMWF DA Head)



STAR ICVS Team Members



| Name | Organization | Major Task |
|--------------------|--------------|---|
| Fuzhong Weng | NOAA/STAR | Team lead, technical oversight, budget and schedule |
| Ninghai Sun | ERT Inc. | System designer and Spacecraft/ATMS/AMSU/MHS LTM developer; Big data analysis lead |
| Ken Carey | ERT Inc. | ICVS outreach |
| Xin Jin | ERT Inc. | CrIS SDR LTM developer |
| Jason Choi | ERT Inc. | VIIRS SDR LTM developer |
| Ding Liang | ERT Inc. | OMPS SDR LTM developer |
| Wanchun Chen | ERT Inc. | System developer |
| Haifeng Qian | ERT Inc. | POES AVHRR/HIRS LTM developer |
| Miao Tian | ERT Inc. | Microwave trending analyst; Big data statistic analyst |
| Jian Li/Emily Duff | ERT Inc. | Multiple sensor imaging visualization developer |



STAR ICVS Accomplishments



- 1. Transitioned S-NPP instrument health status and data product quality monitoring package (ICVS-Lite) to GRAVITE for OSPO 24/7 operational uses
- 2. Supported S-NPP ATMS scan drive main motor current anomaly analysis and scan reversal activities
- **3.** Defined SI traceable channel noise evaluation algorithm using Allan deviation method for both ATMS and CrIS
- 4. Explored Big Data applications in database construction, statistic analysis, prediction model construction, data mining algorithm development for ICVS
- 5. Held the first STAR ICVS annual meeting and published STAR ICVS instrument status annual technical report
- 6. Updated ICVS to improve the instrument status and data quality monitoring capability
 - Added VIIRS band averaged and detector level F/H-factor trending
 - Added ATMS dwell telemetry RDR trending
 - Added CrIS full spectral resolution (FSR) SDR trending
 - Added ATMS/CrIS TDR/SDR bias characterization trending
 - Added VIIRS Imagery over Alaska real time monitoring
 - Rejuvenated OMPS NP/NM/LP SDR trending packages
 - Updated STAR ICVS website to improve user experience





S-NPP Spacecraft and onboard instruments health status, performance, and SDR data quality long term monitoring (LTM) from STAR ICVS has been transitioned to GRAVITE

Modules includes,

- 1. S-NPP Spacecraft health status LTM
- 2. S-NPP ATMS instrument health status/performance and TDR data quality LTM
- 3. S-NPP CrIS instrument health status/performance and SDR data quality LTM
- 4. S-NPP VIIRS instrument health status and key calibration parameters LTM
- 5. S-NPP OMPS instrument health status LTM
- 6. S-NPP VIIRS Imagery real time monitoring

STAR ICVS team and GRAVITE data quality monitoring team work closely to make ICVS-Lite work stable for OSPO 24/7 operational missions

ATMS Scan Drive Main Motor Monitoring



NOAA/NESDIS/STAR





ATMS Scan Drive Main Motor Monitoring











ATMS Scan Drive Main Motor Monitoring









Current operational NEAT calculation method,

$$NE\Delta T_{ch} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{NM} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\frac{C_{ch}^{w}(i,j) - \overline{C_{ch}^{w}}(i)}{\overline{G_{ch}}(i)}\right)}$$

where C_{ch}^{w} represents the warm count readings at each scan, $\overline{G_{ch}}$ is the averaged calibration gain.

By using overlapping Allan deviation, NEAT can be calculated via

$$NE\Delta T_{ch}^{Allan}(M,m) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m^2(M-2m+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^{M-2m+1} \sum_{k=i}^{i+m-1} \left[\frac{C_{ch}^w(k+m) - C_{ch}^w(k)}{\overline{G_{ch}}}\right]^2$$

when m=1 , NE Δ T can be calculated using neighborhood Allan deviation

$$NE\Delta T_{ch}^{Allan} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(M-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left[\frac{C_{ch}^{w}(i+1) - C_{ch}^{w}(i)}{\overline{G_{ch}}}\right]^{2}}$$

M. Tian, X. Zou and F. Weng, "Use of Allan Deviation for Characterizing Satellite Microwave Sounders Noise Equivalent Differential Temperature (NEDT)", IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., (Accepted).





S-NPP ATMS On-orbit NE Δ T









Y. Chen, F. Weng and Y. Han, "SI Traceable Algorithm for Characterizing Hyperspectral Infrared Sounder CrIS Noise", Applied Optics, (Accepted).





Detect CrIS Shortwave (SW) impulse noise events automatically through long term statistic results



S-NPP VIIRS F-factor Trending



Detector Dependent F-factor plots added to ICVS



S-NPP VIIRS Imagery Monitoring







STAR ICVS Annual Report



NOAA Technical Report NESDIS XXX



2014-2015 Annual Instrument Performance Review as Monitored by the NESDIS/STAR Integrated Calibration/Validation System

Ninghai Sun, Xin Jin, Taeyoung Choi, Lawrence E. Flynn, Ding Liang, Chengzhi Zou, Greg Krasowski, and Fuzhong Weng

Washington, DC August 2015,

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Penny Pritzker, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Dr. Kathryn Sullivan, NOAA Administrator National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service Stephen Volz, Assistant Administrator

- Instrument overview including scan geometry
- Instrument health status summary
- Annual instrument anomaly event record
- Include NOAA-19/NOAA-18/Metop-A/Metop-B AMSU-A and MHS, S-NPP ATMS, CrIS, VIIRS, OMPS



Big Data Analysis on ICVS



Big data exceeds the reach of commonly used hardware environments and software tools to capture, manage, and process it within a tolerable elapsed time for its user population (*Merv Adrian, Teradata Magazine, 2011*)

Big data refers to data sets whose size is beyond the ability of typical database software tools to capture, store, manage and analyze (*McKinsey Global Institute, 2011*)





Big Data Analysis on ICVS




Big Data Analysis on ICVS











- Ensure the consistence of data quality with improved calibration algorithm
- Fundamental for reference environmental data record generation



NOAA ICVS and operational band averaged F-factors in HAM B

Mission Life-cycle Reprocessing







- STAR ICVS is not only just instrument status monitoring system but also a calibration testing and quality evaluation system
- STAR ICVS keeps providing near real time and long term trending of NOAA instrument and automatically sending warning messages when anomaly is detected
- STAR ICVS will keep supporting GRAVITE ICVS-Lite 24/7 operational missions
- New functions and parameters are being added to ICVS to provide users better understanding of NOAA satellites/instruments operational status and support on calibration activities, as well as improving user experience by updating STAR ICVS website
- STAR ICVS has supported JPSS-1 pre-launch calibration activities and is ready for JPSS-1 post-launch instrument monitoring and calibration activities





- Keep developing STAR ICVS Big Data analysis enterprise system
 - Collect satellite observation and derived environmental data to increase ICVS Big Data analysis database volume
 - Start data importing and pre-processing to improve Big Data analysis efficiency
 - Begin initial statistic analysis on multi-dimensional database
 - Attempt to apply different data mining technical for advanced data analysis for different users
- Plan on S-NPP mission life-cycle reprocessing for reference environmental data record generation
- VIIRS DNB parameter trending
- Instrument geolocation accuracy trending