- **Implementation (IT issues)**
- **Program Considerations**
- How much leveraging can we do? How much would be left that still needs to be done?
- **What is scope?**
- What is definition (operations, satb, etc?)
- How to get univ/ext partners to be able to work/improve/study operational algorithms in a timely way?
- How to address Access issues (IE Wash DC firewall)?
- Role of external community/universities? How do we make it inclusive?
- Desirable attributes of a test center
- How to keep this innovative/creative and merge platforms but also switch paradigms? Is this something complementary to our current psdi/etc processes?
- Part of the gap is getting to psdi process (in addition to cross-sensor)
- Is this a playground for new algorithms OR is this something that allows a scientist to get into operations? The concept of operational constraints will limit the playground but enhance the operational effectiveness. Is there a way to do both? The hurricane testbed appears to do both since failure is a real option unlike current psdi paradigm.
- Is the testbed a way to find out quickly if a new idea is going to be useful (allowing for more risk)?
- Note that both research and ops tend to be funded by ops whereas the r2o is PAC… when looking for ORF don't forget to include both research and ops ORF components.
- How to best involve end-user (getting requirements from end user?) Is that part of SATB? Do we start with the assumption that there is a requirement before the testbed is involved? Or is part of the testbed to find new requirements? Can the testbed use noaa documents to identify problems that we could then map requirements to?
- Do we need an SATB? There are certainly gaps? No ORF for GEOSS-type projects (as opposed to systems acq projects). Lots of HW/SW type things happening.

Tomorrow

- SATB 2B or not 2B 8:30-9:30am
- If SATB2B then
  - Scope 9:30-10:30am
  - Implementation and Program Considerations 10:30-11:30am
- Else
  - What else?