

### Early Results from the COSMIC/ FORMOSAT-3 Mission

### Bill Kuo UCAR COSMIC Project







# COSMIC (Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere and Climate)

 6 Satellites was launched: 01:40 UTC 15 April 2006
Three instruments: GPS receiver, TIP, Tri-band beacon
Weather + Space Weather data
Global observations of: Pressure, Temperature, Humidity Refractivity Ionospheric Electron Density Ionospheric Scintillation



- Demonstrate quasi-operational GPS limb sounding with global coverage in near-real time
- Climate Monitoring







The LEO tracks the GPS phase while the signal is occulted to determine the Doppler

The velocity of GPS relative to LEO must be estimated to ~0.2 mm/sec (velocity of GPS is ~3 km/sec and velocity of LEO is ~7 km/sec) to determine precise temperature profiles







The LEO tracks the GPS phase while the signal is occulted to determine the Doppler

The velocity of GPS relative to LEO must be estimated to ~0.2 mm/sec (20 ppb) to determine precise temperature profiles





### Launch on April 14, 2006, Vandenberg AFB, CA



- All six satellites stacked and launched on a Minotaur rocket
- Initial orbit altitude ~500 km; inclination ~72°
- Will be maneuvered into six different orbital planes for optimal global coverage (at ~800 km altitude)
- All satellites are in good health and providing initial data



COSMIC launch picture provided by Orbital Sciences Corporation



### **COSMIC** satellite status



OSMIC



### Processed COSMIC data since launch

Processed data for cosmicrt







### COSMIC Sounding Penetration (Day 239, 2006)





# Precision of COSMIC GPS RO Measurements



Vertical profiles of "dry" temperature (black and red lines) from two independent receivers on separate COSMIC satellites (FM-1 and FM-4) at 00:07 UTC April 23, 2006, eight days after launch. The satellites were about 5 seconds apart, which corresponds to a distance separation at the tangent point of about 1.5 km. The latitude and longitude of the soundings are 20.4°S and 95.4°W.





### Deviation of the two GPS RO soundings separated by less than 10 km





### Precision of GPS RO soundings







# Detection of Tropical Boundary Layer





### Monitoring PBL depth by GPS Radio Occultations

Two techniques are being tested to estimate PBL top or top of convective cloud layer:

- 1) Using sharp gradients of mean humidity via gradients of retrieved bending angle and refractivity profiles
- 2) Using signal fluctuations (scintillation) of recorded signal as measures of clear-air turbulence and cloud-forced convective mixing.

World-wide distribution of this interface contains information on the state of the lower troposphere and is predicted by regional and global models.





# Examples of retrieved bending angle and refractivity profiles in the presence of a sharp boundary (ABL top) at ~ 2 km altitude



Bending angle profiles are scanned with 0.5 km window and the height of the maximum change (for bending angle) and the change in slope (for refractivity) is estimated for each profile.



#### Zonal distribution of the heights of maximum bending angle lapse (>10<sup>-2</sup> rad) within 0.5 km height (color scale shows the bending angle lapse rate) for 23 Sept. to 3 Oct. 2006



latitude (deg)



PBL height from COSMIC bending angle profiles for 23 Sept. – 3 Oct. 2006 (color scale shows height of max bending angle lapse rate (>10<sup>-2</sup> rad within 0.5 km) height



Copyright (C) 1999—2004 University Corporation for Atmospheric Research All rights received







# Assimilation of COSMIC at ECMWF: Preliminary results

Sean Healy





## **COSMIC ASSIMILATION**

We have started assimilation experiments with COSMIC using the 1D (local) bending angle observation operator that evaluates

$$\alpha(a) = -2a \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d\ln n}{\sqrt{dx}} dx$$

A number of experiments are currently running. We are looking at the sensitivity to observation errors, quality of the rising occultations and how close to the surface should we assimilate the data.

Results presented here: bending angles with impact heights less than 5km are blacklisted, rising occultations blacklisted. 32 days of forecast scores.





Vertical profile of the COSMIC "O-B" and "O-A" bending angle mean and standard deviation departure statistics, normalized by the assumed observation errors (so



O-B = BlackO-A = Red

The departures shown here are for COSMIC-4, but similar results are obtained for the 5 other satellites.

The bias in the tropics near 18 km is related to a model bias.

The standard deviation of the O-B departures near 40 km are typically 3 microradians. Overall, the quality of the bending angles looks very good!



#### Some promising forecast scores in the stratosphere. EG, verification against

#### radiosonde at 100 hPa. Red = COSMIC experiment.





The results in the NH are new. We had not seen improvements in NH with CHAMP. Note that the improvements are generally statistically significant at the 5% level.



### Summary

- We have started assimilation experiments with COSMIC.
- The bending angle departure statistics suggest that the data is very good quality. All satellites have comparable statistics.
- Assimilating the data cleans up some known model problems in Antarctica and near the tropical tropopause.
- Forecast scores in the stratosphere encouraging. First positive impact in the NH (100hPa).
- Still some work required in the troposphere.





## Using COSMIC refractivity profiles in an evaluation of Antarctic forecast models

Kevin W. Manning NCAR / ESSL / MMM

- AMPS is funded by the National Science Foundation

Ying-Hua Kuo UCAR / UOP / COSMIC NCAR / ESSL / MMM











#### V erification of AMPS (Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System)

Antarctic is a data poor region of the world.

With 11 days of COSMIC operation, we collect several thousands GPS RO soundings. This provides a great opportunity for model verification.

Results are provided by Kevin Manning (NCAR/MMM)





### 0.5 km – Initialization

MM5

Refractivity % error







Fcsts initialized between 2006082212 and 2006092712



### 0.5 km – Animation through 120 hrs

MM5

Refractivity % error









### 0.5 km – Fcst hour 120

MM5

Refractivity % error





Fcsts initialized between 2006082212 and 2006092712

Fcsts initialized between 2006082212 and 2006092712



#### Low-level error statistics show influence of sea-ice representation:

Blue = sea ice; Green = open water





#### wrf Forecast hours 012 to 024 0.5 km AGL







### Conclusions – what COSMIC has revealed about AMPS NWP

- MM5 Polar modifications are important, and should be adapted into WRF
- WRF "free-troposphere" forecasts are superior to MM5
- Sea-ice treatment is deficient in the models
  - MM5 polar modifications show some improvement, but both models have a clear "warm" bias.
- Initial conditions need attention
  - Background Error Covariance?
- The model shows excessive moisture in the subtropical region (as refractivity forecasts are showing positive bias with time).





### Conclusions – utility of COSMIC for Antarctic analysis

- COSMIC dataset is extremely valuable for examining model behaviours
  - With only a month or so of data, we see clear signals of model strengths and weaknesses that we really have had no way of seeing before
- COSMIC will be extremely useful in evaluating changes and improvements to the WRF AMPS system





# Impact of COSMIC on Hurricane Ernesto (2006)





Hurrican Ernesto:

- Formed: 24 August 2006
- Reached Hurricane strength: 27 August
- Dissipated: 1 September 2006

15:50 UTC 27 August 2006

Picture taken by MODIS, 250 m resolution



### **Status of current tropical analyses**

- The analyses rely on satellite radiances and cloud-drift winds.
- Significant areas of cloud-cover may exist, e.g., in case of hurricanes. Radiances are not routinely used in these areas.
- In such areas, satellite winds are the major data. Analyses of T and Q may have larger uncertainty.
- Hurricane forecasts initialized from such analyses may have larger uncertainty.
- Study of weather and climate over oceans (e.g., ITCZ, MJO, ENSO) also needs more reliable analyses of T, Q, and winds.
- RO data is not affected by clouds and may significantly improve tropical analyses in cloudy situations.

### Location of the RO refractivity profiles (Aug 16-31, 2006)



QC: RO data with differences from the forecasts more than 8 times the observation error are rejected. Almost all (425) of the RO data are assimilated.

### **The experiments**

- *CTRL run*: Assimilate satellite cloud drift winds only.
- *GPS run*: Assimilate satellite cloud winds + RO refractivity assimilated using the non-local operator.
- *GPS2km run*: Same as *GPS run* but assimilate only RO data above 2km.
- WRF ensemble data assimilation system at 36 km resolution with 36 ensemble members is used.
- Continuously 6 hour cycle assimilation.
- Analyses and 6-h forecasts are verified to the dropsondes and radiosondes, which are withheld from the assimilations.

### Sounds used for Verification (Aug 16-31, 2006)



The sounds include most dropsondes and a few radiosondes

### Impact on analyses of Hurricane Ernesto (2006) (GPS run)

#### GULF3 EnKF

#### InitesNe00a ValidLM



#### Green dots:

#### Best Track

Analyses of surface pressure and wind

### Impact on analyses of Hurricane Ernesto (2006) (CTRL run)

#### GULF3 EnKF

#### InitesNe00a ValidLM



Green dots:

#### Best Track

Analyses of surface pressure and wind

### Impact on analyses of Hurricane Ernesto (2006) (GPS2km run)



Green dots:

Best Track

Analyses of surface pressure and wind



#### Control

GPS

GPS, Temp & SLP, k=9, 00Z22AUG2006 CTRL, Temp & SLP, k=9, 00Z22AUG2006 1016 1018 278 27N 1024 1024 24N 24N-21N 21N 1020 1020 1020 18N 18N 1016 101: 1016 15N-15N-12N-128 1016 1012 SN-GN 1012 6N 6N-015 1016 38 3N 60 95W 454 354 85% 809 75420N 4014 292 294298 278 280 282 284 286 288 290 292 294 296 EXC, Qvapor & SLP, k=9, 00Z22AUG2006 CTL, Qvapor & SLP, k=9, 00Z22AUG2006 1016 27N 27N 1024 1016 24N 1012 24N 21N 218 1020 18N 18N 1016 1016 1012 1012 15N 151 1016 12N 128 1016 1016 9N 1016 6N 1012 3N 1012 EQ. 404 35W 95W 854 404 35₩ 894 80W 90% 80W 754 204 65W 606 4.5% One week into assimilation - 00 UTC 22 August 14 11 to asince the second s Û 12

#### Control

#### GPS



Ten days into assimilation - 00 UTC 26 August





Theta\_e cross section

For 22, 24, 26 Aug 2006



### Conclusion

• The RO data has improved analyses of moisture in the lower troposphere over tropical oceans.

• The RO improved analyses of the hurricane Ernesto's genesis through providing more favorable environment for the easterly wave to develop into a tropical cyclone.

• The RO data below 2km has useful information and positive impact on the analyses of the hurricane's genesis.

The ensemble data assimilation system is available on www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS



# Impact of a few key COSMIC soundings at the right place





### Forecast experiments

- No GPS: initialized from AVN/GFS analysis at 2006-08-23-06Z
- GPS all: assimilate all 15 GPS profiles at 2006-08-23-06Z, followed by a 5-day forecast
- GPS 1 : only assimilate 1 GPS profile at 2006-08-23-06Z, followed by a 5-day forecast





### Low-level moisture change by assimilating GPS







#### 2006-08-23-12Z (06h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-23-12Z



#### GPS all

Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-23-12Z



#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-23-12Z





#### 2006-08-24-00Z (18h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2005-08-24-00Z



#### GPS all Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-24-00Z



#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-24-00Z





#### 2006-08-24-12Z (30h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-24-12Z





-15 -14 -13 -12 -11 -10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5

#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-24-12Z





#### 2006-08-25-00Z (42h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-25-00Z



# GPS all Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-25-00Z



GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-25-00Z





#### 2006-08-25-12Z (54h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-25-12Z







#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-25-12Z





#### 2006-08-26-00Z (66h forecast)

#### Sat. IR









-15 -14 -13 -12 -11 -10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5

#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-26-00Z





#### 2006-08-26-12Z (78h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-26-12Z



#### GPS all Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-26-12Z



#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-26-12Z





#### 2006-08-27-00Z (90h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-27-00Z



#### GPS all

Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-27-00Z



#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-27-00Z





#### 2006-08-27-12Z (102h forecast)

#### Sat. IR



NO GPS GFS, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-27-12Z





#### GPS 1 GPS 1 sounding, Total Q Cloud [log(kg/kg)], 2006-08-27-12Z





**UCAR** 







### A COSMIC Education Module



http://www.meted.ucar.edu/COSMIC/



#### **COSMIC Data Access**



More than 270 users have already registered



## **Space Weather**



### Ionospheric profiles availability

**UCAR** 

#### Processed data for cosmicrt 2500 2000 Occultations per day 500 Copyright (C) 1999–2003 University Corporation for Atmospheric Research All rights reserved <del>. . .</del> 1000 500 2006.151 2006.191 2006.111 2006.121 2006.131 2006.141 2006.161 2006.201 2006.211 2006.241 2006.251 2006.261 2006.271 2006.281 2006.291 2006.301 2006.231 2006.171 2006.181 2006.221 Date

### **Total Electron Content availability**

**UCAR** 

Processed data for cosmicrt





### COSMIC NmF2 - 1 week







#### Comparison of NmF2 and HmF2 between COSMIC and GAIM during Apr. 21-28, 2006



Good agreement of NmF2 between COSMIC and GAIM;

Higher peak heights from GAIM than those from COSMIC

Comparison of Ne(h) between COSMIC (red), Ionosondes (green)and TIEGCM (black) on Aug. 17 - 21nd

COSMIC agree well with ionosonde obs, especially the HmF2;

UCAD

Vertical structures from COSMIC coincide well with TIEGCM in the midlat, but not in the tropics.

TIEGCM shows a bit higher HmF2 compared with obs.









#### Maps of NmF2 for:

COSMIC (dots), I onosondes (stars), TIEGCM (contour)

COSMIC agree well with ionosonde observations;

Global map of NmF2 revealed from COSMIC is well represented by TIEGCM model, though TIEGCM shows higher peak density in the low latitude.



### Formosat-3/COSMIC Observations of Scintillations

UCAR

From presentation by Chin S. Lin, AFRL L1/L2 p-Code SNRs: C002.2006.179.12.22.G10 100 Ľ **RED** = COSMIC sat 12 90 **BLUE** = GPS sat 80 70 SNR [dB] atmPhs/atmPhs-C002.2006.179.12.22.G10-0001.0001-nc 60 50 60°N 40 latitude [deg] 00 30 L -20 120 0 20 40 60 80 100 occ time [s] 09 30°S 60°S SAT=2 PRN=10 UT=12:22 LT=23:33 60°E 120°E 180°W 120°W 60°W longitude [deg]



#### TIP 135.6-nm passes 14 Sep 2006 FM1 FM3 FM6 0-24 UT (2100 LT)



From presentation by Clayton Coker, NRL

